Case 1:20-cv-10942-VEC-RWL Dod	cument 214	Filed 12/22/21 Page 1 of 2
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	Y	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED:12/22/2021
TOWAKI KOMATSU Plaintiff,	: : : :	20-CV-10942 (VEC) (RWL)
- against - CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,	:	AMENDED ORDER
Defendants.	: : V	

ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER, United States Magistrate Judge.

This order addresses Plaintiff's most recent deluge of correspondence to this Court (Dkt. 208, 209, 210, 211.)

Two of plaintiff's letters request the same relief – recusal by myself and Judge Caproni. (Dkt. 208, 210.) These letters violate prior orders of Judge Caproni and myself prohibiting plaintiff from filing multiple requests for the same relief, and for relief already denied. Once again, neither myself nor Judge Caproni have any reason to recuse ourselves.

The requests in Plaintiff's letter at Dkt. 209 are denied. The first request is for sanctions against defense counsel concerning representations made as to hand-delivery of discovery material. Plaintiff clearly received the material as is self-evident from his discussion of them. There also is no evidence presented that the material was not hand-delivered, which should not be confused with personal service. Plaintiff's letter also asks the Court to change its ruling with respect to early filing of summary judgment; this is another example of Plaintiff repeatedly raising an issue that already has been ruled upon. Plaintiff's additional request that the Court provide monthly reports of its efforts to secure

Case 1:20-cv-10942-VEC-RWL Document 214 Filed 12/22/21 Page 2 of 2

pro bono counsel for Plaintiff is denied. There is no basis to impose such administrative

burden.

Plaintiff's letter at Dkt. 211 accuses the Court of issuing a scheduling order that is

"more restrictive" (with respect for dates to amend the pleadings) than what was proposed

by the parties. That is an incorrect accusation. The proposed scheduling order included

the same deadlines for amendments (12/10/2021). The request to revise the scheduling

order is denied.

Plaintiff continues to file frivolous requests for relief; to seek relief based on alleged

facts that are false; to make requests for relief that previously have been denied on

multiple occasions; and to violate court orders. The Court has warned Plaintiff on

multiple occasions that failure to abide by Court orders and that engaging in the

foregoing conduct will result in a recommendation to dismiss his case. This is the

Court's final warning.

SO ORDERED.

ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: December 22, 2021

New York. New York

Copies transmitted this date to all counsel of record. The Court respectfully requests the

Clerk of Court to mail a copy of this Order to the pro se Plaintiff.

2